



<b>Title</b>	<b>Assessing change in oral health-related quality of life using OHIP</b>
<b>Author(s)</b>	<b>Wong, MCM; Lo, ECM; McMillan, AS</b>
<b>Citation</b>	<b>The 79th General Session and Exhibition of the International Association for Dental Research, Chiba, Japan, 27-30 June 2001. In Journal of Dental Research, 2001, v. 80 Sp Iss, abstract no. 545</b>
<b>Issued Date</b>	<b>2001</b>
<b>URL</b>	<b><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10722/53624">http://hdl.handle.net/10722/53624</a></b>
<b>Rights</b>	<b>Creative Commons: Attribution 3.0 Hong Kong License</b>

Content-type: text/html

Mail form to: IADR, 1619 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314-3406, USA

(FAX SUBMISSIONS WILL BE REFUSED.)

Type perfect original of abstract here:

## Assessing change in oral health-related quality of life using OHIP

M.C.M. Wong\*, E.C.M. Lo, A.S. McMillan (Faculty of Dentistry, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong)

1588

The Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP) is used for measuring oral health-related quality of life (QOL) and the assessment of oral therapy outcomes. We aimed to assess the change in QOL in institutionalized elderly Chinese following basic dental care. 231 elderly Chinese aged 60–80 years living in elderly homes completed the Chinese version of OHIP-49 at baseline, assisted by a trained interviewer. The elderly were then examined clinically and 143 (62%) of them received treatment from a dental out-reach team. Treatment included restorations, extractions, scaling, denture adjustment and fluoride varnish. The elderly were interviewed again 6 months later. OHIP summary scores at baseline and 6-month follow-up were computed by summing negative impacts across the 49 statements (OHIP-SC). At baseline and 6-month follow-up, no significant differences were found in mean OHIP-SC scores between those who received treatment and those who did not (baseline: 3.2 vs. 3.3, 6-month follow-up: 4.1 vs. 3.8,  $p > 0.05$ ). 39% of those who did not received treatment had no change in the OHIP-SC scores, while 40% had higher scores (i.e. increased negative impact) and 22% had lower scores at 6-month follow-up. Only 20% of those who received dental treatment had no change in OHIP-SC scores, while 50% had higher and 31% had lower scores. The two distributions were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). We concluded that measuring change in QOL was complex. Proportionally more of the elderly who received dental treatment had changes in OHIP-SC scores. (Support: CRCG-HKU/RGC-HKSAR/S.K. Yee Medical Foundation)

For Office Use Only:

(3) ☐ Print Problem  
(6) ☐ INVOLVER(2) ☐ Fellowship  
(5) ☐ IADR Italian(1) ☐ Special Scheduling  
(4) ☐ Symposium/Work

(Type or print legibly in black or blue ink.)

## 5. Area of Review (check only one):

- (1) ☒ Behavioral Sciences/Health Services Research  
(2) ☐ Behavioral Sciences/Epidemiological Methods

TR

10. List five descriptors by number (see reverse side).  
If existing descriptors do not fit your research, then  
write one new word under "Other". (1) 11.7

[Browse the technical program](#)

of the 79th General Session of the International Association for Dental Research (June 27-30, 2001)